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## NOTES

ABOUT THIS PUBLICATION

SYMBOLS AND OTHER USAGES

This publication presents the results of the 1997-98 Business Growth and Performance Survey. It is the fourth and final year of a longitudinal study which commenced in 1994-95. The results from these surveys form a research database of firm level longitudinal data relating mainly to small and medium sized firms. Together the four annual surveys form the Australian Business Longitudinal Survey (BLS).

Funds for the 1997-98 survey were again provided by the Office of Small Business within the Department of Workplace Relations and Small Business. The Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS) undertook the collection of the data and developed the database.

The responses by firms to this survey, together with similar data from the 1994-95, 1995-96 and 1996-97 surveys, allow detailed analyses of firm growth and performance over the four years. While this publication only contains broad summary data, more detailed analyses of groups of firms with similar characteristics are possible by contacting the ABS. The full range of data items available for these analyses is provided in the Appendix.

Where figures have been rounded, discrepancies may occur between sums of the component items and totals.

| ABS | Australian Bureau of Statistics |
| :--- | :--- |
| ANZSIC | Australian and New Zealand Industrial Classification |
| BLS | Business Longitudinal Survey |
| NIES | National Industry Extension Service |
| R\&D | Research and Development |
| RSE | Relative Standard Error |
| SE | Standard Error |
| SME | Small and Medium Enterprise |
| - | Nil or rounded to zero |
| $\checkmark$ | data available |

W. McLennan

Australian Statistician

## INTRODUCTION

CHANGE CATEGORIES USED IN THIS PUBLICATION

The statistics presented in this publication aim to assist users analyse the performance of Australian business, particularly small and medium sized businesses. To do this, businesses are grouped in four ways for each of the variables employment and total business income.

Businesses are grouped on the basis of change in their employment as follows:
(i) change in employment between June 1995 and June 1996;
(ii) change in employment between June 1996 and June 1997;
(iii) change in employment between June 1997 and June 1998; and
(iv) change in employment between June 1995 and June 1998.

Table 1.1 shows an analysis using all four groupings while tables 2.1 to 2.5 analyse more detailed characteristics of businesses based on the most recent 12 months (group (iii) above).

Businesses are also grouped on the basis of change in their income. This has been done as follows:
(i) change in income between 1994-95 and 1995-96;
(ii) change in income between 1995-96 and 1996-97;
(iii) change in income between 1996-97 and 1997-98; and
(iv) change in income between 1994-95 and 1997-98.

Table 1.2 shows an analysis of businesses with changing income using all four groupings while tables 2.6-2.10 analyse more detailed characteristics of businesses based on the most recent 12 months (group (iii) above).

Section 3 of the publication provides an analysis of job generation over the three individual years, 1995 to 1996, 1996 to 1997 and 1997 to 1998. Tables 4.1 and 4.2 provide a snapshot of business use of computers and the Internet, while tables 5.1-5.4 give an overview of business training, collected for the first time in the 1997-98 survey.

For tables examining employment change (table 1.1 and tables 2.1-2.5) in this publication, four employment change categories have been used. Each business has been classified into one of the following categories, based either on their change in employment over three years between 30 June 1995 and 30 June 1998 (table 1.1) or on their change in employment between 30 June 1997 and 30 June 1998 (tables 2.1-2.5).

CHANGE CATEGORIES USED IN THIS PUBLICATION continued

DEFINING BUSINESSES BY SIZE
(i) Businesses with decreasing employment-those businesses where employment decreased by more than $10 \%$;
(ii) Businesses with static employment-those businesses where change in employment ranged from $-10 \%$ to $+10 \%$;
(iii) Businesses with increasing employment-those businesses where employment increased by more than $10 \%$.

For table 1.2 and tables 2.6-2.10, four income change categories have been formed using the same boundaries as used in the employment analysis (i.e. $\pm 10 \%$ ). A business with declining income is one where income has decreased by more than $10 \%$; a business with increasing income is one where income has increased by more than $10 \%$. Table 1.2 is based on change in income over the three-year period between 1994-95 and 1997-98 while tables 2.6-2.10 are based on change in income recorded between 1996-97 and 1997-98.

There has been a continuing and growing interest in the role small business has in the modern economy. Moreover, in recent years the small business policy interest has extended to encompass a broader sector of the business community to now cover a wider group known as Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs). However, while these businesses have been the subject of widespread attention for a long period of time, no universally accepted definition has been adopted. For statistical purposes, ABS has up until now adopted employment as the basis for classifying non-agricultural businesses by size.

In this publication data are presented using five business size categories:
(i) micro business-which is defined as those businesses employing less than five people;
(ii) other small business-which is defined as those businesses employing five or more, but less than 20 people;
(iii) small business-which is defined as those businesses employing less than 20 people;
(iv) medium business-which is defined as those businesses employing 20 or more people, but less than 200 ; and
(v) large business-which is defined as those businesses employing 200 or more people.

COMPARISONS WITH OTHER ABS DATA

THE USE OF PROPORTIONS

Other publications, including Small Business in Australia
(Cat. no. 1321.0), define a small business as all manufacturing businesses with less than 100 employees and all other non-agricultural businesses with less than 20 employees. The slight change in the definition of small business used in this publication has been made to simplify the analysis and make it easier for comparisons to be made with data classified into employment size ranges in other ABS publications. It is not expected to vary significantly the conclusions one could draw about the small business sector.

Where results are presented by business size group, businesses have been classified using employment at the beginning of the reference period. That is, for tables analysing the period June 1997 to June 1998 size is based on employment at 30 June 1997, while for tables analysing the period June 1995 to June 1998 size is based on employment at 30 June 1995.

Estimates relating to businesses classified by size are available from a number of different sources across the ABS. However, these estimates will not always be comparable as they may relate to different points in time. Also, results across different surveys may not be comparable because of differing scope and coverage definitions. There may also be variations due to sampling and non-sampling error. These terms, as they relate to this survey, are described in the Explanatory Notes.

With the exception of the employment generation and destruction estimates shown in tables 3.1-3.3, the results in this publication are presented in the form of proportions.

When examining the results for all businesses it is important to realise that the large number of small businesses in the economy will greatly influence the overall proportions. With almost $94 \%$ of all businesses classified as small (including $64 \%$ of micro businesses), $6 \%$ as medium sized and less than $1 \%$ large, the results for all businesses will be similar to the results for small business. However, the contribution to the level of economic activity of the medium and large business sectors is much more pronounced.

As there is only a small number of large businesses in the sample for this survey, the sampling error associated with estimates for this category is likely to be high and care should be taken when interpreting the results. For these reasons the selected characteristics have not been presented separately for large business.
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## SECTION 1

BUSINESSES WITH CHANGING EMPLOYMENT

Between June 1997 and June 1998 there were more businesses with static employment change than in previous years. There were $21 \%$ of businesses which recorded increased employment over the period June 1997 to June 1998, lower than that recorded in the previous year (24\%). Similarly, the proportion showing decreasing employment between June 1997 and June 1998 (18\%) is less than that recorded between June 1996 and June 1997 (21\%). When examined by business size, it can be seen that micro businesses (those employing less than five people) were more static than other businesses. This was consistent across the years.

The survey showed that $29 \%$ of businesses declined in employment between June 1995 and June 1998. Not unexpectedly, this was a much greater proportion than that of businesses which declined in any of the three 12-month periods June 1995 to June 1996 (22\%), June 1996 and June 1997 (21\%) and June 1997 to June 1998 (18\%). There was a similar pattern for businesses which increased in employment over the same periods, with $34 \%$ of businesses increasing in employment over the three-year period, while the results for the individual years were $25 \%$, $24 \%$ and $21 \%$ respectively.

As a result, the proportion of businesses which remained static in employment over the three-year period was $37 \%$, much lower than each of the three individual component years ( $53 \%, 55 \%$ and $62 \%$ ). This difference can be explained in two ways. Firstly, as shown below in the component analysis, there were very few businesses declining or increasing in all three years; that is, there was very little overlap from one year to the next. Secondly, there would have been some businesses that recorded small declines of less than $10 \%$ (or increases) over two or more of the three periods which, over the three-year period, added to more than $10 \%$ and consequently placing them in one of the changing categories.

Businesses with decreasing

Businesses with increasing employment

Of the $29 \%$ of businesses which showed a decline in employment over the three-year period June 1995 to June 1998, most ( 20 percentage points) recorded a decline in only one year. A further 8 percentage points of businesses recorded decline in employment in two of the three years and only 1 percentage point of businesses declined in each of the three years.

A similar scenario was evident for businesses with increasing employment. Of the $34 \%$ of businesses which increased employment over the three-year period, only a few ( 2 percentage points) increased employment in each of the years. A little over a third (12 percentage points) increased employment in two of the three years, while most (20 percentage points) increased employment in only one year.

This analysis indicates that businesses did not tend to continue changing employment levels. Rather, they tended to implement a change, then remain at that level for some time.

Size of business In each analysis, the proportion of micro businesses which remained static over the three-year period was greater than for other sized businesses. There were $47 \%$ of micro businesses that remained static over the period, compared with $21 \%$ for other small businesses and $27 \%$ and $31 \%$ for medium and large businesses respectively. This was due primarily to the smaller proportion of micro businesses which decreased over the three-year period (21\%) than for other sized businesses where about one third of businesses declined.

The higher proportions of micro businesses in the static category emphasises the stability in employment levels in individual very small businesses in that any change in employment would be a change of more than $10 \%$ which would place them in either the increasing or the decreasing employment category.

In this analysis reference to businesses increasing income relates to those increasing income by more than $10 \%$. Similarly, businesses with income decreasing by more than $10 \%$ are referred to as businesses decreasing income. As with the employment analysis, this analysis relates to continuing businesses only.

Table 1.2 presents an analysis of income change between the three periods 1994-95, 1995-96 and 1996-97 and compares that to the change over the period 1996-97 to 1997-98. Change in income is then analysed over two periods, three years apart, 1994-95 and 1997-98.

There were $29 \%$ of businesses which recorded a decline in income between 1994-95 and 1997-98. This was larger than the proportion which showed a decline between 1994-95 and 1995-96 (24\%), between 1995-96 and 1996-97 (21\%) and between 1996-97 and 1997-98 (20\%).

| For all businesses continued | For businesses which increased their income, the proportion over the three years is even more marked, with $50 \%$ showing an increase between 1994-95 and 1997-98, while the proportion increasing income in each of the three years was $34 \%, 33 \%$ and $35 \%$ respectively. As a consequence, the proportion which remained static was much less over the three years (21\%) than for each of the individual years ( $42 \%, 46 \%$ and 45\% respectively). |
| :---: | :---: |
| Businesses with declining income | Very few ( 1 percentage point) of the $29 \%$ of business that declined over the three-year period declined in each of the three years. A little over half ( 15 percentage points) declined in only one of the three periods, and a little under half (13 percentage points) declined in two of the three. |
| Businesses with increasing income | Of the $50 \%$ of businesses which increased their income between 1994-95 and 1997-98, about one-tenth ( 5 percentage points) increased their income in each of the years. |
| Size of business | Medium and large businesses tended to have higher proportions increasing their income over the longer period than smaller businesses. Smaller businesses had greater proportions that declined in income over the longer period than did medium and large businesses. |

1.1

ALL BUSINESS, BUSINESS SIZE GROUP BY EMPLOYMENT CHANGE CATEGORY(a)

|  |  |  |  |  | Business size group |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Micro business | Other small business | Total small business | Medium business | Large business | Total all business |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| EMPLOYMENT CHANGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 June 1995 to 30 June 1996 (period 1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Decreasing employment | 15 | 34 | 22 | 32 | 21 | 22 |
| Static employment | 62 | 38 | 54 | 44 | 62 | 53 |
| Increasing employment | 23 | 28 | 25 | 24 | 17 | 25 |
| 30 June 1996 to 30 June 1997 (period 2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Decreasing employment | 16 | 32 | 21 | 26 | 20 | 21 |
| Static employment | 61 | 41 | 55 | 51 | 57 | 55 |
| Increasing employment | 23 | 27 | 24 | 22 | 23 | 24 |
| 30 June 1997 to 30 June 1998 (period 3) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Decreasing employment | 12 | 27 | 17 | 25 | 23 | 18 |
| Static employment | 71 | 46 | 62 | 53 | 57 | 62 |
| Increasing employment | 17 | 27 | 20 | 22 | 20 | 21 |
| 30 June 1995 to 30 June 1998 (period 1 to 3) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Decreasing employment | 21 | 41 | 28 | 39 | 36 | 29 |
| Static employment | 47 | 21 | 38 | 27 | 31 | 37 |
| Increasing employment | 32 | 38 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 |
|  | COMPON | T ANALYSIS |  |  |  |  |
| Businesses with decreasing employment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Decreased in one period only | 17 | 25 | 20 | 25 | 21 | 20 |
| Decreased in any two periods | 4 | 14 | 7 | 12 | 9 | 8 |
| Decreased in all three periods | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Did not decrease in any one period | - | 1 | - | 1 | 3 | - |
| Total businesses with decreasing employment | 21 | 41 | 28 | 39 | 36 | 29 |
| Businesses with static employment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Static in one period only | 11 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 10 |
| Static in any two periods | - | 1 | - | 5 | 2 | 1 |
| Static in all three periods | 34 | 9 | 25 | 11 | 20 | 25 |
| Static for no one particular period | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | - | 2 |
| Total businesses with static employment | 47 | 21 | 38 | 27 | 31 | 37 |
| Businesses with increasing employment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Increased in one period only | 21 | 18 | 20 | 19 | 19 | 20 |
| Increased in any two periods | 9 | 18 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 12 |
| Increased in all three periods | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | - | 2 |
| Did not increase in any one period | - | - | - | 2 | 4 | - |
| Total businesses with increasing employment | 32 | 38 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 |

(a) Employment change category relates to changes in the level of employment in the business between each of the periods referenced, as outlined in the Introduction.
1.2 PROPORTION OF BUSINESSES, BY BUSINESS SIZE GROUP AND INCOME CHANGE CATEGORY(a)

|  | Micro business \% | Other small business \% | Total small business | Medium business | Business size group |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Large business | Total all business |
|  |  |  |  |  | \% | \% |
| INCOME CHANGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1994-95 to 1995-96 (period 1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Decreasing income | 26 | 22 | 25 | 16 | 11 | 24 |
| Static income | 40 | 47 | 42 | 50 | 49 | 42 |
| Increasing Income | 34 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 39 | 34 |
| 1995-96 to 1996-97 (period 2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Decreasing income | 24 | 18 | 22 | 13 | 15 | 21 |
| Static income | 43 | 52 | 46 | 48 | 52 | 46 |
| Increasing Income | 33 | 30 | 32 | 39 | 33 | 33 |
| 1996-97 to 1997-98 (period 3) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Decreasing income | 23 | 16 | 21 | 14 | 11 | 20 |
| Static income | 42 | 51 | 45 | 43 | 60 | 45 |
| Increasing Income | 35 | 34 | 34 | 43 | 28 | 35 |
| 1994-95 to 1997-98 (period 1 to 3) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Decreasing income | 32 | 27 | 30 | 20 | 23 | 29 |
| Static income | 18 | 24 | 20 | 25 | 23 | 21 |
| Increasing Income | 50 | 49 | 50 | 55 | 54 | 50 |
|  | COM | NENT ANALYS |  |  |  |  |
| Businesses with decreasing income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Decreased in one period only | 15 | 15 | 15 | 14 | 13 | 15 |
| Decreased in any two periods | 15 | 10 | 13 | 4 | 7 | 13 |
| Decreased in all three periods | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 |
| Did not decrease in any one period | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| Total businesses with decreasing income | 32 | 27 | 30 | 20 | 23 | 29 |
| Businesses with static income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Static in one period only | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 5 |
| Static in any two periods | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 |
| Static in all three periods | 8 | 13 | 10 | 15 | 17 | 10 |
| Static for no one particular period | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Total businesses with static income | 18 | 24 | 20 | 25 | 23 | 21 |
| Businesses with increasing income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Increased in one period only | 23 | 25 | 24 | 22 | 29 | 24 |
| Increased in any two periods | 20 | 19 | 19 | 23 | 17 | 20 |
| Increased in all three periods | 5 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 5 |
| Did not increase in any one period | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 2 |
| Total businesses with increasing income | 50 | 49 | 50 | 55 | 54 | 50 |

(a) Income change category relates to changes in the level of annual income in the business between each of the periods referenced, as outlined in the Introduction.

## SECTION 2

CHARACTERISTICS OF BUSINESSES WITH CHANGING EMPLOYMENT

EMPLOYMENT AND INCOME CHANGE 1996-97 TO 1997-98
In this analysis businesses are categorised in terms of changing employment between June 1997 and June 1998. Reference to businesses increasing employment relates to those increasing employment by more than $10 \%$. Similarly, businesses with employment decreasing by more than $10 \%$ are referred to as businesses decreasing employment. The analysis relates to continuing businesses, i.e. those which were operating at both June 1997 and June 1998.

The survey shows that between June 1997 and June 1998, 21\% of all continuing businesses had increased their employment and $18 \%$ had shown a decrease. The remaining businesses (62\%) had only minor changes to employment and were classified as static.

When examined by business size, it can be seen that micro businesses (those employing less than five people) appeared to be more static than other businesses. This is consistent with the previous years' findings. Between June 1997 and June 1998, 71\% of micro businesses were classified as static in terms of their employment. This compares with $46 \%$ for other small businesses and $53 \%$ and $57 \%$ for medium and large businesses respectively. Less micro businesses decreased in employment than any other size category ( $12 \%$ compared to $27 \%, 25 \%$ and $23 \%$ for other small, medium and large categories respectively). A larger proportion of firms grew in the other small business category (27\%) than any other size group.

Across the selected industries, the Property and business services industry had the highest proportion of businesses increasing employment over the period ( $22 \%$ ), up from $20 \%$ in the $1996-97$ survey where they had the lowest proportion of firms in this category. The Retail trade and Accommodation, cafes and restaurants industries had the smallest proportion of businesses increasing their employment with $19 \%$ of firms increasing.

The Other selected industries category (which includes, Construction, Transport and storage, Finance and insurance and Personal services) recorded the highest proportion of businesses with decreasing employment (21\%) while the Property and business services industry recorded the lowest proportion (14\%). These results were rather different to the 1996-97 survey in which the Other selected industries group (along with Wholesale trade) had the lowest proportion of businesses decreasing their employment (17\%) and the Manufacturing industry had the highest proportion (22\%).



#### Abstract

Small business by industry

Medium business by industry

Age of business Across the age categories, (businesses aged between 5 and 10 years old) recorded the largest proportion of businesses with increasing employment (28\%), considerably larger than for businesses of other ages. Similar proportions were recorded for the micro and total small business categories, but amongst medium businesses the largest proportion recording growth was in the $10-20$ years old range ( $27 \%$ ).

Across all business sizes, businesses in the age groups 5 years to less than 10 years old and 10 years to less than 20 years old recorded the lowest proportion with decreasing employment ( $16 \%$ in each group).

Export status

In the small business sector, the Property and business services industry had the largest proportion of firms increasing their employment (22\%), while the Other selected industries category recorded the largest proportion of businesses with declining employment (20\%).

For medium sized businesses, Property and business services again had the highest proportion of businesses with increased employment (28\%), while Other selected industries again recorded the largest proportion with decreasing employment (30\%).

For businesses which were exporting, $36 \%$ showed an increase in employment and $16 \%$ showed a decrease. For non-exporting businesses only $20 \%$ recorded increasing employment while $18 \%$ recorded decreasing employment.

For those businesses that were innovative, a higher proportion (31\%) showed an increase in employment than showed a decrease ( $17 \%$ ). For non-innovative firms, the proportions reporting increasing and decreasing employment were about the same (both $18 \%$ ).


| Unionisation | For all businesses, each category of degree of unionisation showed a larger proportion of businesses with increasing employment than with decreasing employment. Businesses with union membership greater than $50 \%$ showed the largest proportion with increasing employment (28\%), although businesses with union membership between $1 \%$ and $50 \%$ had the lowest proportion with decreasing employment (16\%). <br> Looking at individual size categories, the differences were more marked for the micro business category, with $49 \%$ of businesses with union membership greater than $50 \%$ having employment growth and only $3 \%$ showing decreasing employment. However, amongst medium businesses the pattern was reversed, with only $22 \%$ of the most heavily unionised businesses having increasing employment and $34 \%$ seeing a decline. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Family business | Family businesses were less likely to record increasing employment than non-family businesses ( $19 \%$ and $23 \%$ ). Also, family businesses were more likely to record decreasing employment with $19 \%$ in this category compared to only $16 \%$ for non-family businesses. A similar pattern can be seen amongst all sizes of business, with differences more marked in the larger size groups. |
| Gender of business managers | Businesses with equal numbers of male and female operators were more likely to have increasing employment (23\%) than those where one sex was in predominant control ( $17 \%$ for predominantly male control, $18 \%$ for female). Predominantly female-run businesses were less likely to see their employment decrease (13\%) than male-run (20\%) or equal male and female operated businesses (17\%). Similar patterns are evident across all sizes. |
| Increased training | Businesses that increased the amount of staff they trained during 1997-98 over previous years had a far higher proportion which recorded increased employment (52\%) to firms that did not increase training over the same period (17\%). It is likely that in many cases the reason businesses increased their training was because their employment levels increased. This may explain in part the high proportion of businesses that increased training during the year that also recorded increasing employment. |
| Use of computers | For businesses that increased their use of computers during 1997-98, a greater proportion had increasing employment (38\%) than decreasing employment (19\%). Differences were less marked amongst medium sized businesses, although here the level of computer use was typically high anyway. Similar proportions of businesses not increasing their use of computers had decreasing and increasing employment. |

Access to the Internet Of businesses with access to the Internet, 29\% had increasing employment. Only $17 \%$ of business without Internet access recorded increasing employment.

Changes in goods and services provided

CHARACTERISTICS OF BUSINESSES WITH CHANGING INCOME

For all businesses

Size of business

Of those businesses which decreased the range of goods and services they provided, $37 \%$ also decreased employment and only $18 \%$ increased. Of those businesses which increased the range of goods and services they provided, $38 \%$ also increased employment and only $19 \%$ decreased.

In this analysis businesses are categorised in terms of changing income between 1996-97 and 1997-98. Reference to businesses increasing income relates to those increasing income by more than $10 \%$. Similarly, businesses with income decreasing by more than $10 \%$ are referred to as businesses decreasing income.

Businesses which were not in operation for both 1996-97 and 1997-98 are excluded from the following analysis.

The survey shows that $35 \%$ of businesses recorded an increase in their income between 1996-97 and 1997-98. This compared to $20 \%$ which showed a decrease in their income. The remaining $45 \%$ were classified as having static income. There were a significantly larger number of businesses in the group recording an increase in income than those which recorded an increase in employment (21\%).

The proportions increasing and decreasing income across the different size categories in 1997-98 were a little better than in 1996-97, with a larger proportion of businesses increasing their income and a slightly lower proportion seeing a decrease. The proportion of businesses showing increasing income was similar for the micro and small business groups. Proportions for the medium businesses were higher but for large businesses they were lower.

The micro business category recorded the largest proportion of businesses showing decreasing income (23\%). The large business category recorded the smallest proportion of businesses showing declining income (11\%).

Industry The Other selected industry category had the largest proportion of businesses showing an increase in income (41\%). The next highest proportion was in the Manufacturing industry (36\%) while the Retail trade and Accommodation cafes and restaurants industries (28\%) recorded the lowest proportion of businesses increasing income.

## BUSINESSES INCREASING INCOME—1997-98



The Retail trade and Accommodation, cafes and restaurants industries also had the smallest proportion of businesses showing a decline in income (17\%) while the largest proportion was in Wholesale trade (25\%).

| Age of business | All age groups recorded a greater proportion of businesses showing increasing income than decreasing income. Businesses aged less than five years old had the largest proportion increasing income (38\%) and the lowest proportion showing decreasing income (17\%). |
| :---: | :---: |
| Export status | Across all business sizes a greater proportion of exporting businesses (30\%) reported a decrease in their income than did non-exporters (20\%), while the same proportion of exporters and non-exporters reported an increase in their income (35\%). Looking at the particular size categories, the micro and medium businesses recorded a higher proportion of non-exporters reporting an increase in income than the exporting businesses. |
| Innovation | A larger proportion of businesses undertaking innovative activity reported increasing income than non-innovators ( $44 \%$ compared to $32 \%$ ). These proportions are much larger than the proportion of innovating and non-innovating businesses which showed a decline in income ( $17 \%$ and $21 \%$ respectively). The same trend can be seen for each of the business size categories. |
| Unionisation | Businesses with union membership of $1 \%$ to $50 \%$ recorded the highest proportion with increasing income (40\%), while businesses with no union members recorded the highest proportion showing decreasing income (21\%). |
| Family business | A slightly greater proportion of family businesses showed increasing income than for non-family businesses ( $35 \%$ compared to $34 \%$ ), while $21 \%$ of family businesses and $20 \%$ of non-family businesses reported decreasing income. |


| Gender of business managers | A higher proportion of businesses with managers equally spread between the sexes had increasing income (37\%) than those with predominantly female managers (30\%) or predominantly male managers (33\%). Predominantly female-controlled businesses had the lowest proportion with decreasing income (19\%) compared to $20 \%$ for predominantly male-operated businesses and $21 \%$ for those with equal numbers of male and female managers. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Increased training | A far higher proportion of business that increased their training recorded a growth in income ( $60 \%$ ) than recorded a drop ( $11 \%$ ). For businesses that didn't increase their training, 32\% recorded a rise in income and $21 \%$ recorded a decrease. Similar patterns were observed across the different size groups. |
| Use of computers | For businesses increasing their use of computers, a much greater proportion had increasing income (50\%) than decreasing income (20\%). Amongst businesses not increasing computer use, $31 \%$ reported increasing and $20 \%$ decreasing income. |
| Access to the Internet | Of businesses with access to the Internet, $39 \%$ had increasing income and $23 \%$ had decreasing income, while $33 \%$ of businesses without Internet access recorded increasing income and $19 \%$ recorded decreasing income. |
| Changes in goods and services provided | Of those businesses which decreased the range of goods and services they provided, $46 \%$ also decreased income. Of those businesses which increased the range of goods and services they provided, $59 \%$ also increased income. |

2.1

ALL BUSINESS, INDUSTRY AND BUSINESS SIZE GROUP—BY EMPLOYMENT CHANGE CATEGORY(a)

|  | h |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Decreasing employment | Static employment | Increasing employment | All businesses |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Manufacturing |  |  |  |  |
| Micro business | 12 | 71 | 18 | 100 |
| Other small business | 25 | 51 | 23 | 100 |
| Total small business | 17 | 63 | 20 | 100 |
| Medium business | 26 | 48 | 26 | 100 |
| Large business | 27 | 62 | 11 | 100 |
| Total all business | 19 | 61 | 21 | 100 |
| Wholesale trade |  |  |  |  |
| Micro business | 14 | 67 | 20 | 100 |
| Other small business | 26 | 51 | 24 | 100 |
| Total small business | 19 | 60 | 21 | 100 |
| Medium business | 24 | 59 | 18 | 100 |
| Large business | 26 | 49 | 25 | 100 |
| Total all business | 19 | 59 | 21 | 100 |
| Retail trade, accommodation, cafes and restaurants |  |  |  |  |
| Micro business | 7 | 80 | 12 | 100 |
| Other small business | 26 | 47 | 27 | 100 |
| Total small business | 16 | 64 | 19 | 100 |
| Medium business | 21 | 59 | 20 | 100 |
| Large business | 15 | 59 | 26 | 100 |
| Total all business | 17 | 64 | 19 | 100 |
| Property and business services |  |  |  |  |
| Micro business | 11 | 71 | 18 | 100 |
| Other small business | 22 | 43 | 35 | 100 |
| Total small business | 13 | 65 | 22 | 100 |
| Medium business | 28 | 44 | 28 | 100 |
| Large business | 19 | 52 | 29 | 100 |
| Total all business | 14 | 64 | 22 | 100 |
| Other selected industries |  |  |  |  |
| Micro business | 16 | 65 | 19 | 100 |
| Other small business | 34 | 42 | 24 | 100 |
| Total small business | 20 | 60 | 20 | 100 |
| Medium business | 30 | 54 | 16 | 100 |
| Large business | 28 | 52 | 20 | 100 |
| Total all business | 21 | 60 | 20 | 100 |
| Total all selected industries |  |  |  |  |
| Micro business | 12 | 71 | 17 | 100 |
| Other small business | 27 | 46 | 27 | 100 |
| Total small business | 17 | 62 | 20 | 100 |
| Medium business | 25 | 53 | 22 | 100 |
| Large business | 23 | 57 | 20 | 100 |
| Total all business | 18 | 62 | 21 | 100 |

(a) Employment change category relates to changes in the level of employment in the business between 1996-97 and 1997-98, as outlined in the Introduction.
2.2

ALL BUSINESS, SELECTED CHARACTERISTICS—BY EMPLOYMENT CHANGE CATEGORY(a)

(a) Employment change category relates to changes in the level of employment in the business between 30 June 1997 and 30 June 1998, as outlined in the Introduction.

|  | Proportion of businesses with |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Decreasing employment | Static employment | Increasing employment | businesses |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Total micro business | 12 | 71 | 17 | 100 |
| Age of business |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 5 years | 14 | 71 | 15 | 100 |
| 5 years to less than 10 years | 10 | 64 | 25 | 100 |
| 10 years to less than 20 years | 11 | 76 | 14 | 100 |
| 20 years or more | 14 | 73 | 13 | 100 |
| Export status |  |  |  |  |
| Non-exporter | 12 | 71 | 17 | 100 |
| Exporter | 11 | 52 | 36 | 100 |
| Innovative status |  |  |  |  |
| Non-innovator | 12 | 72 | 16 | 100 |
| Innovator | 10 | 65 | 25 | 100 |
| Unionisation |  |  |  |  |
| No union members | 12 | 70 | 17 | 100 |
| Up to 50\% | 8 | 79 | 12 | 100 |
| 50\% to 100\% | 3 | 48 | 49 | 100 |
| Family business |  |  |  |  |
| Non-family business | 9 | 73 | 18 | 100 |
| Family business | 13 | 69 | 17 | 100 |
| Gender of business managers |  |  |  |  |
| Predominantly females | 7 | 74 | 19 | 100 |
| Predominantly males | 17 | 70 | 13 | 100 |
| No predominance | 9 | 70 | 21 | 100 |
| Training |  |  |  |  |
| Did not increase training | 13 | 73 | 15 | 100 |
| Increased training | 2 | 34 | 64 | 100 |
| Use of computers |  |  |  |  |
| No computers used in the business | 13 | 73 | 15 | 100 |
| Computers used in the business | 9 | 55 | 36 | 100 |
| Use of the Internet |  |  |  |  |
| Businesses without access to the Internet | 12 | 74 | 15 | 100 |
| Businesses with access to the Internet | 14 | 60 | 26 | 100 |
| Range of goods and services |  |  |  |  |
| Increased during 1997-98 | 19 | 53 | 29 | 100 |
| Not changed during 1997-98 | 11 | 72 | 17 | 100 |
| Decreased during 1997-98 | 25 | 57 | 18 | 100 |

(a) Employment change category relates to changes in the level of employment in the business between 30 June 1996 and 30 June 1997, as outlined in the Introduction.
2.4

|  | Proportion of businesses with |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Decreasing employment | Static employment | Increasing employment | All businesses |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Total small business | 17 | 62 | 20 | 100 |
| Age of business |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 5 years | 19 | 62 | 19 | 100 |
| 5 years to less than 10 years | 15 | 57 | 28 | 100 |
| 10 years to less than 20 years | 15 | 68 | 17 | 100 |
| 20 years or more | 19 | 65 | 16 | 100 |
| Export status |  |  |  |  |
| Non-exporter | 17 | 63 | 20 | 100 |
| Exporter | 15 | 47 | 38 | 100 |
| Innovative status |  |  |  |  |
| Non-innovator | 17 | 65 | 18 | 100 |
| Innovator | 16 | 53 | 31 | 100 |
| Unionisation |  |  |  |  |
| No union members | 17 | 63 | 20 | 100 |
| Up to 50\% | 16 | 62 | 22 | 100 |
| 50\% to 100\% | 9 | 59 | 32 | 100 |
| Family business |  |  |  |  |
| Non-family business | 16 | 62 | 23 | 100 |
| Family business | 18 | 63 | 19 | 100 |
| Gender of business managers |  |  |  |  |
| Predominantly females | 13 | 68 | 19 | 100 |
| Predominantly males | 20 | 64 | 17 | 100 |
| No predominance | 16 | 61 | 23 | 100 |
| Training |  |  |  |  |
| Did not increase training | 18 | 65 | 17 | 100 |
| Increased training | 11 | 32 | 57 | 100 |
| Use of computers |  |  |  |  |
| No computers used in the business | 17 | 67 | 16 | 100 |
| Computers used in the business | 17 | 43 | 40 | 100 |
| Use of the Internet |  |  |  |  |
| Businesses without access to the Internet | 16 | 67 | 17 | 100 |
| Businesses with access to the Internet | 19 | 51 | 30 | 100 |
| Range of goods and services |  |  |  |  |
| Increased during 1997-98 | 19 | 43 | 38 | 100 |
| Not changed during 1997-98 | 17 | 65 | 19 | 100 |
| Decreased during 1997-98 | 34 | 47 | 19 | 100 |

(a) Employment change category relates to changes in the level of employment in the business between 30 June 1997 and 30 June 1998, as outlined in the Introduction.

(a) Employment change category relates to changes in the level of employment in the business between 30 June 1997 and 30 June 1998, as outlined in the Introduction.

(a) Income change category relates to changes in the level of annual income in the business between 1996-97 and 1997-98, as outlined in the Introduction.

|  | Proportion of businesses with |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Decreasing income | Static income | Increasing income | businesses |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Total all business | 20 | 45 | 35 | 100 |
| Age of business |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 5 years | 17 | 45 | 38 | 100 |
| 5 years to less than 10 years | 21 | 43 | 36 | 100 |
| 10 years to less than 20 years | 21 | 46 | 33 | 100 |
| 20 years or more | 23 | 45 | 31 | 100 |
| Export status |  |  |  |  |
| Non-exporter | 20 | 45 | 35 | 100 |
| Exporter | 30 | 35 | 35 | 100 |
| Innovative status |  |  |  |  |
| Non-innovator | 21 | 46 | 32 | 100 |
| Innovator | 17 | 39 | 44 | 100 |
| Unionisation |  |  |  |  |
| No union members | 21 | 45 | 35 | 100 |
| Up to 50\% | 17 | 43 | 40 | 100 |
| 50\% to 100\% | 20 | 57 | 24 | 100 |
| Family business |  |  |  |  |
| Non-family business | 20 | 46 | 34 | 100 |
| Family business | 21 | 44 | 35 | 100 |
| Gender of business managers |  |  |  |  |
| Predominantly females | 19 | 50 | 30 | 100 |
| Predominantly males | 20 | 47 | 33 | 100 |
| No predominance | 21 | 42 | 37 | 100 |
| Training |  |  |  |  |
| Did not increase training | 21 | 46 | 32 | 100 |
| Increased training | 11 | 28 | 60 | 100 |
| Use of computers |  |  |  |  |
| No computers used in the business | 20 | 49 | 31 | 100 |
| Computers used in the business | 20 | 30 | 50 | 100 |
| Use of the Internet |  |  |  |  |
| Businesses without access to the Internet | 19 | 47 | 33 | 100 |
| Businesses with access to the Internet | 23 | 38 | 39 | 100 |
| Range of goods and services |  |  |  |  |
| Increased during 1997-98 | 13 | 28 | 59 | 100 |
| Not changed during 1997-98 | 21 | 46 | 33 | 100 |
| Decreased during 1997-98 | 46 | 45 | 9 | 100 |

(a) Income change category relates to changes in the level of annual income in the business between 1996-97 and 1997-98, as outlined in the Introduction.

|  | Proportion of businesses with |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Decreasing income | Static income | Increasing income | All businesses |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Total micro business | 23 | 42 | 35 | 100 |
| Age of business |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 5 years | 22 | 42 | 36 | 100 |
| 5 years to less than 10 years | 24 | 39 | 37 | 100 |
| 10 years to less than 20 years | 22 | 47 | 32 | 100 |
| 20 years or more | 30 | 37 | 33 | 100 |
| Export status |  |  |  |  |
| Non-exporter | 23 | 42 | 35 | 100 |
| Exporter | 48 | 29 | 23 | 100 |
| Innovative status |  |  |  |  |
| Non-innovator | 24 | 43 | 33 | 100 |
| Innovator | 19 | 36 | 45 | 100 |
| Unionisation |  |  |  |  |
| No union members | 24 | 42 | 35 | 100 |
| Up to 50\% | 20 | 46 | 34 | 100 |
| 50\% to 100\% | - | 76 | 24 | 100 |
| Family business |  |  |  |  |
| Non-family business | 23 | 42 | 35 | 100 |
| Family business | 23 | 42 | 35 | 100 |
| Gender of business managers |  |  |  |  |
| Predominantly females | 24 | 46 | 31 | 100 |
| Predominantly males | 22 | 47 | 31 | 100 |
| No predominance | 25 | 36 | 39 | 100 |
| Training |  |  |  |  |
| Did not increase training | 24 | 43 | 33 | 100 |
| Increased training | 6 | 30 | 64 | 100 |
| Use of computers |  |  |  |  |
| No computers used in the business | 23 | 44 | 32 | 100 |
| Computers used in the business | 26 | 23 | 51 | 100 |
| Use of the Internet |  |  |  |  |
| Businesses without access to the Internet | 21 | 44 | 34 | 100 |
| Businesses with access to the Internet | 30 | 34 | 36 | 100 |
| Range of goods and services |  |  |  |  |
| Increased during 1997-98 | 9 | 22 | 69 | 100 |
| Not changed during 1997-98 | 24 | 43 | 33 | 100 |
| Decreased during 1997-98 | 44 | 51 | 4 | 100 |

(a) Income change category relates to changes in the level of annual income in the business between 1996-97 and 1997-98, as outlined in the Introduction.

|  | Proportion of businesses with |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Decreasing income | Static income | Increasing income | All businesses |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Total small business | 21 | 45 | 34 | 100 |
| Age of business |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 5 years | 18 | 46 | 37 | 100 |
| 5 years to less than 10 years | 22 | 43 | 35 | 100 |
| 10 years to less than 20 years | 22 | 46 | 32 | 100 |
| 20 years or more | 24 | 44 | 32 | 100 |
| Export status |  |  |  |  |
| Non-exporter | 20 | 45 | 34 | 100 |
| Exporter | 33 | 31 | 35 | 100 |
| Innovative status |  |  |  |  |
| Non-innovator | 22 | 46 | 32 | 100 |
| Innovator | 18 | 39 | 43 | 100 |
| Unionisation |  |  |  |  |
| No union members | 21 | 45 | 34 | 100 |
| Up to 50\% | 18 | 43 | 39 | 100 |
| 50\% to 100\% | 24 | 58 | 18 | 100 |
| Family business |  |  |  |  |
| Non-family business | 20 | 46 | 33 | 100 |
| Family business | 21 | 44 | 35 | 100 |
| Gender of business managers |  |  |  |  |
| Predominantly females | 20 | 50 | 30 | 100 |
| Predominantly males | 21 | 47 | 32 | 100 |
| No predominance | 21 | 42 | 37 | 100 |
| Training |  |  |  |  |
| Did not increase training | 22 | 46 | 32 | 100 |
| Increased training | 11 | 29 | 60 | 100 |
| Use of computers |  |  |  |  |
| No computers used in the business | 21 | 48 | 31 | 100 |
| Computers used in the business | 22 | 30 | 49 | 100 |
| Use of the Internet |  |  |  |  |
| Businesses without access to the Internet | 19 | 47 | 33 | 100 |
| Businesses with access to the Internet | 25 | 37 | 37 | 100 |
| Range of goods and services |  |  |  |  |
| Increased during 1997-98 | 14 | 29 | 57 | 100 |
| Not changed during 1997-98 | 21 | 46 | 33 | 100 |
| Decreased during 1997-98 | 48 | 46 | 7 | 100 |

(a) Income change category relates to changes in the level of annual income in the business between 1996-97 and 1997-98, as outlined in the Introduction.
2.10

Proportion of businesses with

|  | Proportion of businesses with |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Decreasing income | Static income | Increasing income | All businesses |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Total medium business | 14 | 43 | 43 | 100 |
| Age of business |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 5 years | 14 | 32 | 54 | 100 |
| 5 years to less than 10 years | 11 | 39 | 50 | 100 |
| 10 years to less than 20 years | 14 | 47 | 39 | 100 |
| 20 years or more | 15 | 59 | 26 | 100 |
| Export status |  |  |  |  |
| Non-exporter | 12 | 43 | 45 | 100 |
| Exporter | 20 | 47 | 33 | 100 |
| Innovative status |  |  |  |  |
| Non-innovator | 15 | 50 | 35 | 100 |
| Innovator | 12 | 33 | 55 | 100 |
| Unionisation |  |  |  |  |
| No union members | 13 | 43 | 43 | 100 |
| Up to 50\% | 14 | 42 | 44 | 100 |
| 50\% to 100\% | 13 | 51 | 36 | 100 |
| Family business |  |  |  |  |
| Non-family business | 16 | 41 | 44 | 100 |
| Family business | 10 | 47 | 42 | 100 |
| Gender of business managers |  |  |  |  |
| Predominantly females | 3 | 52 | 44 | 100 |
| Predominantly males | 16 | 40 | 45 | 100 |
| No predominance | 13 | 45 | 42 | 100 |
| Training |  |  |  |  |
| Did not increase training | 13 | 51 | 36 | 100 |
| Increased training | 14 | 25 | 60 | 100 |
| Use of computers |  |  |  |  |
| No computers used in the business | 14 | 60 | 26 | 100 |
| Computers used in the business | 14 | 29 | 57 | 100 |
| Use of the Internet |  |  |  |  |
| Businesses without access to the Internet | 20 | 51 | 30 | 100 |
| Businesses with access to the Internet | 10 | 38 | 52 | 100 |
| Range of goods and services |  |  |  |  |
| Increased during 1997-98 | 4 | 21 | 75 | 100 |
| Not changed during 1997-98 | 16 | 49 | 35 | 100 |
| Decreased during 1997-98 | 23 | 37 | 39 | 100 |

(a) Income change category relates to changes in the level of annual income in the business between 1996-97 and 1997-98, as outlined in the Introduction.

Statistics in this Section reveal the extent of job generation and destruction separately for the years June 1995 to June 1996, June 1996 to June 1997 and June 1997 to June 1998. The data for the first two years are revised estimates to those released previously. In the analysis, statistics for continuing firms which have increased employment during each year are combined with statistics on the employment of new firms which commenced during each year to form an overall estimate of employment generation. Employment destruction is analogously derived by combining estimates of the decrease in employment of continuing firms with the employment of firms which ceased during the year. Net employment generation is then defined as being the difference between employment generation and employment destruction.

Because of difficulties identifying, in every case, whether 'new' larger businesses are actual new businesses or simply a continuing entity born as a result of a restructure, job generation and destruction figures for the large business sector are likely to be slightly overstated. This overstatement will be reflected in the generation reported from new businesses and in the destruction reported from ceased businesses. The net employment change data are accurate.

Also, in interpreting these statistics it is important to understand that, in any particular size category, net employment generation figures do not mirror changes in total employment numbers. Consider the example of a medium sized business that employed 190 staff at June 1997 and gained 15 staff in the course of 1997-98. The employment of this business at 30 June 1998 would therefore be 205, and in a snapshot estimate its employment would be allocated to that of a large company. However, in this analysis, which looks at growth, businesses are classified by size according to their size at the beginning of the period. As a result this business' employment growth would be allocated to the medium sized business classification (because the business was in the medium employment range at the start of the period). Hence, a snapshot estimate of the number of staff employed by large businesses may show an increase over the year, while the net employment change measured in an analysis such as this may be negative.

For all businesses The survey showed that total employment generation during 1997-98 was about 1 million, slightly below the revised previous year levels of almost 1.1 million.

Total employment destruction during 1997-98 was 652,000 , while in 1996-97 it was somewhat greater $(750,000)$.

For all businesses continued By subtraction, estimated net employment generation can be calculated. Over the three years net employment generation showed a steady increase, rising from 205,000 in 1995-96 to 324,000 in 1996-97 and 361,000 in 1997-98. In both 1996-97 and 1997-98, net employment generation can be mainly attributed to the small and large sized business categories. In 1997-98, 50\% came from the small business sector and $33 \%$ came from large sized businesses. During 1996-97, 57\% came from the small business sector and $32 \%$ from the large business sector. By contrast, large businesses during 1995-96 recorded a negative net employment change with the vast majority of generation (74\%) coming from small business.

By size of business
The contribution to employment generation during 1997-98 by size of business was:

- Total small business-contributed 492,000 persons (49\%) to total job generation of which 268,000 came from continuing businesses which increased their employment during the year and 224,000 from new businesses starting up;
- Medium business-contributed 256,000 persons (25\%) to total job generation of which 159,000 came from continuing businesses which increased their employment during the year and 96,000 from new businesses starting up;
- Large business-contributed 265,000 persons (26\%) to total job generation.

For employment destruction the contributions were:

- Total small business-contributed 311,000 persons ( $48 \%$ ) to total job destruction of which 161,000 came from continuing businesses which decreased their employment during the year and 150,000 from ceased businesses;
- Medium business-contributed 193,000 persons (30\%) to total job destruction of which 134,000 came from continuing businesses which decreased their employment during the year and 60,000 from ceased businesses;
- Large business-contributed 147,000 persons (23\%) to total job destruction.

In terms of net employment change it was the small business sector which was the strongest, generating $50 \%$ of the total net employment growth during 1997-98.
3.1

EMPLOYMENT GENERATION \& DESTRUCTION, BY BUSINESS SIZE GROUP—JUNE 1995 TO JUNE 1996

|  | Micro business | Other small business | Total small business | Medium business | Large business | All <br> business |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| '000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employment generation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New businesses | 147 | 151 | 299 | 179 | **27 | 505 |
| Continuing businesses | 118 | 125 | 242 | 102 | 101 | 445 |
| Total | 265 | 276 | 541 | 281 | 128 | 950 |
| Employment destruction |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ceased businesses | 82 | 123 | 205 | 110 | *62 | 377 |
| Continuing businesses | 51 | 135 | 185 | 103 | 80 | 368 |
| Total | 133 | 257 | 390 | 213 | 142 | 745 |
| Net employment change | 132 | 18 | 151 | 68 | -14 | 205 |
| \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employment generation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New businesses | 29 | 30 | 59 | 35 | **5 | 100 |
| Continuing businesses | 26 | 28 | 54 | 23 | 23 | 100 |
| Total | 28 | 29 | 57 | 30 | 13 | 100 |
| Employment destruction |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ceased businesses | 22 | 33 | 54 | 29 | *16 | 100 |
| Continuing businesses | 14 | 37 | 50 | 28 | 22 | 100 |
| Total | 18 | 35 | 52 | 29 | 19 | 100 |
| Net employment change | 65 | 9 | 74 | 33 | -7 | 100 |

3.2 EMPLOYMENT GENERATION \& DESTRUCTION, BY BUSINESS SIZE GROUP—JUNE 1996 TO JUNE 1997
$\left.\begin{array}{lrcrrrr} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Micro } \\ \text { business }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Other small } \\ \text { business }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Total small } \\ \text { business }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Medium } \\ \text { business }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Large } \\ \text { business }\end{array} \\ \text { business }\end{array}\right\}$

|  | Micro business | Other small business | Total small business | Medium business | Large business | business |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| '000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employment generation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New businesses | 100 | 123 | 224 | 96 | *143 | 463 |
| Continuing businesses | 119 | 149 | 268 | 159 | 122 | 549 |
| Total | 220 | 272 | 492 | 256 | 265 | 1013 |
| Employment destruction |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ceased businesses | 79 | 71 | 150 | 60 | *35 | 245 |
| Continuing businesses | 44 | 117 | 161 | 134 | 112 | 407 |
| Total | 123 | 189 | 311 | 193 | 147 | 652 |
| Net employment change | 97 | 84 | 181 | 62 | 118 | 361 |
| \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employment generation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New businesses | 22 | 27 | 48 | 21 | *31 | 100 |
| Continuing businesses | 22 | 27 | 49 | 29 | 22 | 100 |
| Total | 22 | 27 | 49 | 25 | 26 | 100 |
| Employment destruction |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ceased businesses | 32 | 29 | 61 | 24 | *14 | 100 |
| Continuing businesses | 11 | 29 | 40 | 33 | 28 | 100 |
| Total | 19 | 29 | 48 | 30 | 23 | 100 |
| Net employment change | 27 | 23 | 50 | 17 | 33 | 100 |

## SECTION 4

Use of the Internet

## USE OF COMPUTERS AND THE INTERNET 1997-98

Tables 4.1 and 4.2 show details of the use of computers and the Internet in Australian business at 30 June 1997 and 30 June 1998. Of those businesses surveyed, $25 \%$ were not using computers in their business operations at June 1998, down from 30\% in 1997. All large businesses, were using computers as were practically all medium sized businesses, but only $74 \%$ of small businesses, and $70 \%$ of micro businesses were using computers. As would be expected, the proportions of small and micro businesses with computers increased over 1997 levels with proportions of businesses using computers in the other small business group up $6 \%$ and micro businesses up 5\%.

Some $29 \%$ of businesses had access to the Internet at June 1998. This was up from $24 \%$ in June 1997. Over $86 \%$ of large business had access to the Internet, while only a quarter of micro businesses were connected. The Internet was used most for Email (26\%) and Gathering information (24\%). Only $3 \%$ of firms were Selling goods or services over the Internet, while $6 \%$ of businesses used it for Purchasing. However, these levels were up significantly on those recorded in 1997 when only $1 \%$ of businesses were using the Internet for selling and purchasing.

The large and medium businesses showed particularly strong growth in using the Internet for selling and purchasing, with $12 \%$ of medium businesses and $13 \%$ of large businesses selling via the Internet, while $15 \%$ and $16 \%$ respectively were using it for purchasing. At 30 June 1997 these levels ranged between $1 \%$ and $4 \%$.

USE OF COMPUTERS AND THE INTERNET—1997-98

4.1 Proportion of businesses using computers and interner, by gusiness size group

|  | Using computers in 1996-97 | Using computers in 1997-98 | Percentage change from 1996-97 to 1997-98 | Using Internet in 1996-97 | Using Internet in 1997-98 | Percentage change from 1996-97 to 1997-98 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Micro business | 65 | 70 | 8 | 20 | 25 | 23 |
| Other small business | 76 | 82 | 8 | 27 | 31 | 14 |
| Total small business | 68 | 74 | 8 | 22 | 27 | 20 |
| Medium business | 95 | 95 | - | 50 | 58 | 16 |
| Large business | 100 | 100 | - | 85 | 86 | 2 |
| Total | 70 | 75 | 7 | 24 | 29 | 20 |

4.2 maor uses of the internet, by business stze group

|  | Email | Gathering information | Data transfer | Marketing(a) | Selling | Purchasing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Micro business |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1996-97 | 19 | 18 | 9 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 1997-98 | 22 | 21 | 9 | 5 | 1 | 5 |
| Other small business |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1996-97 | 27 | 23 | 9 | 8 | 1 | 1 |
| 1997-98 | 27 | 26 | 12 | 13 | 6 | 7 |
| Total small business |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1996-97 | 21 | 19 | 9 | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| 1997-98 | 24 | 22 | 10 | 8 | 3 | 6 |
| Medium business |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1996-97 | 48 | 45 | 19 | 16 | *4 | 1 |
| 1997-98 | 55 | 48 | 26 | 30 | 12 | 15 |
| Large business |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1996-97 | 80 | 78 | 41 | 36 | *2 | *3 |
| 1997-98 | 83 | 77 | 50 | 54 | 13 | 16 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1996-97 | 23 | 21 | 9 | 5 | 1 | 1 |
| 1997-98 | 26 | 24 | 11 | 9 | 3 | 6 |

(a) Includes businesses with a website or homepage

## SECTION 5

Change in training levels

Training methods used

Fields of training

Training providers

## TRAINING 1997-98

Tables 5.1-5.4 show details of training provided by Australian business during 1997-98. Across all businesses, $57 \%$ provided some staff training during the year. Virtually all large businesses trained their staff, while only $44 \%$ of micro businesses provided some form of training.

The survey asked whether the number of staff trained by the business had changed compared to the previous year. Some $13 \%$ of businesses trained more staff in 1997-98 than they had trained in the previous year, with the highest proportions reported for large businesses (38\%) and medium businesses (37\%). In all size groups only a very small proportion of businesses reported a decrease in the training provided.

The statistics on training methods (shown in detail in table 5.2) relate only to those $57 \%$ of businesses which offered some form of training. On-the-job training was by far the most frequently used training method, with $77 \%$ of businesses who offered any training using this method. Seminars, workshops and conferences were used by $47 \%$ of businesses that trained staff, while structured training methods were used by $41 \%$ of training businesses. Only $30 \%$ of the micro businesses that trained staff used structured training methods, compared to $93 \%$ of large businesses. Job rotation and exchange was the least popular training method with only $21 \%$ of businesses that trained staff using this method.

Again, these statistics (shown in detail in table 5.3) relate only to those businesses who trained staff. A higher proportion of businesses offered training in the Other category than any of the specific fields listed in the survey. This was probably because most general training is recorded in this category. A greater proportion of businesses offered Management training ( $25 \%$ ) than any other specified category, and once again larger businesses were more likely to use this training than smaller businesses. Professional training was the least popular, with only $19 \%$ of those businesses that trained staff offering such training.

Once again, these statistics (shown in detail in table 5.4) relate only to those businesses who trained staff. Training was most often provided by employees or owners of the business; $74 \%$ of businesses that offered training provided some training in this way. Private training consultants were the least used providers. Less than $13 \%$ of businesses that offered training used Private consultants. However 65\% of large businesses used Private training consultants.
5.1

CHANGE IN TRAINING LEVELS, BY BUSINESS SIZE GROUP

|  | Increased | Decreased | Stayed the same | No training provided |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Micro business | 7 | 1 | 37 | 56 |
| Other small business | 20 | 2 | 53 | 24 |
| Total small business | 11 | 1 | 42 | 45 |
| Medium business | 37 | 2 | 50 | 11 |
| Large business | 38 | 4 | 58 | - |
| Total | 13 | 1 | 43 | 43 |

5.2 trannce mertoos used for those uunnesses offerng trannc, by siz group

|  | Structured training courses | On-the-job training | Seminars, workshops, conferences etc. | Job rotation etc. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Micro business | 30 | 65 | 41 | 7 |
| Other small business | 47 | 87 | 49 | 30 |
| Total small business | 38 | 75 | 44 | 17 |
| Medium business | 68 | 94 | 68 | 50 |
| Large business | 93 | 99 | 93 | 77 |
| Total | 41 | 77 | 47 | 21 |

5.3 PROPORTION OF BUSINESSES OFFERING PARTICULAR FIELDS OF TRAINING, BY BUSINESS SIZE GROUP

|  | Management training | Professional training | Training for computer specialists | Trade and apprenticeship training | Health and safety training | Other training |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Micro business | 17 | 14 | 13 | 13 | 16 | 29 |
| Other small business | 28 | 20 | 23 | 23 | 24 | 43 |
| Total small business | 22 | 17 | 18 | 18 | 20 | 35 |
| Medium business | 48 | 35 | 46 | 39 | 47 | 60 |
| Large business | 83 | 77 | 72 | 63 | 87 | 82 |
| Total | 25 | 19 | 21 | 20 | 23 | 38 |


|  | Employees or <br> owners of the <br> business | Professional <br> associations | Industry <br> associations | Equipment <br> manufacturer <br> supplier | Private training <br> consultant | TAFE or <br> university |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |  |
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1 The information in this publication has been derived from the 1994-95, 1995-96, 1996-97 and 1997-98 Business Growth and Performance Surveys. The surveys were designed to provide estimates on the growth and performance of Australian employing businesses and to identify selected economic and structural characteristics of these businesses. The 1994-95 survey was the first of the annual series. The 1997-98 survey was the last. Together, the surveys form a longitudinal panel of Australian business data and are generally referred to as the Business Longitudinal Survey (BLS).

2 The business unit about which data has been collected and published is termed the management unit. The management unit is the highest level accounting unit within a business, having regard for industry homogeneity, for which detailed accounts are maintained; in nearly all cases it coincides with the legal entity owning the business (i.e. a company, partnership, trust, sole operator etc.). In the case of large diversified businesses, however, there may be more than one management unit, each coinciding with a 'division' or 'line of business'. A division or line of business is recognised where separate and comprehensive accounts are compiled for it. For these larger businesses a management unit may coincide with one legal entity, any combination of legal entities (or parts thereof) under common ownership or control, or part of a legal entity.

3 The Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS) Business Register was used as the population frame for the survey with approximately 13,000 business units being selected for inclusion in the 1994-95 survey. For the 1995-96 survey, a sub-sample of the original selections for 1994-95 survey was selected and this was supplemented by a sample of new business units added to the ABS Business Register during 1995-96. The sample for the 1997-98 survey can again be considered in two parts. The first part forms the longitudinal or continuing part of the sample and consists of all those remaining live businesses from the 1996-97 survey, while the second part consists of a sample of new business units added to the ABS Business Register during 1997-98. Each year the sample consists of about 5,600 businesses continuing from the previous year and an additional 800 new businesses giving a total sample of about 6,400 business units in each of the annual iterations of the survey since 1995-96.

4 All business units in the Australian economy were included in the scope of the survey except for:

- businesses which have not registered as group employers with the Australian Taxation Office, which generally equates to non-employing businesses
- all Government enterprises
- businesses classified to the following Australian and New Zealand Industrial Classification (ANZSIC) industries:

A—Agriculture, forestry and fishing
D-Electricity, gas and water supply

J-Communication services
M-Government administration and defence

N -Education
O -Health and community services

- ANZSIC Subdivisions:

96-Other services

97—Private households employing staff

- ANZSIC Groups:

921—Libraries

922-Museums

923-Parks and gardens

CLASSIFICATION BY INDUSTRY

REFERENCE PERIOD

RELIABILITY OF ESTIMATES

Sampling error

5 The statistics in this publication are classified by industry in accordance with the Australian and New Zealand Industrial Classification, 1993 (Cat. no. 1292.0). Each management unit is classified to a single industry, which is the one providing the major source of income irrespective of whether a range of activities or a single activity is undertaken by the unit. Please note that for the purposes of publication, some separate ANZSIC industries have been amalgamated.

6 The statistical results presented in this publication are based on data collected from selected businesses in respect of the years ending June 1995, June 1996, June 1997 and June 1998. In a minority of cases, where businesses do not account on a June-year basis, details were reported in respect of their accounting year.

7 The estimates provided in this publication are subject to two types of error, sampling error and non-sampling error.

8 Since the estimates in this publication are based on information obtained from a sample drawn from units in the surveyed population, the estimates are subject to sampling variability; that is, they may differ from the figures that would have been produced if all units in the population had been included in the survey.

9 One measure of the likely difference is given by the standard error (SE), which indicates the extent to which an estimate might have varied by chance because only a sample of units was included. The relative standard error (RSE) is a useful measure in that it provides an immediate indication of the percentage errors likely to have occurred due to sampling, and thus avoids the need to also refer to the size of the estimate.

10 There are about two chances in three that a sample estimate will differ by less than one SE from the figure that would have been obtained if all units had been included in the survey, and about nineteen chances in twenty that the difference will be less than two standard errors. Thus, for example, if the estimated value of a variable is $36 \%$ and its SE is 2 percentage points, its reliability in terms of sampling error can be interpreted as follows. There are about two chances in three that the true value of the variable lies within the range $34 \%$ to $38 \%$, and nineteen chances in twenty that the true value lies within the range $32 \%$ to $40 \%$.

11 Space does not allow for separate indication of the SEs of all estimates in this publication. As a guide, the table below provides the RSEs for the estimates of numbers of businesses and employment by growth status and size.

RELATIVE STANDARD ERRORS, BUSINESSES CHANGING
EMPLOYMENT-BY BUSINESS SIZE GROUP

|  | Relative standard errors for businesses |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Decreasing <br> employment | Static employment | Increasing <br> employment |
| Micro business | 11.0 | 3.6 | 8.6 |
| Other small business | 8.0 | 5.1 | 7.1 |
| Total small business | 6.6 | 2.9 | 5.7 |
| Medium business | 9.1 | 6.9 | 10.3 |
| Large business | 14.6 | 8.4 | 15.2 |
| Total all business | 6.0 | 2.7 | 5.3 |

12 In the tables in this publication estimates with RSEs between $25 \%$ and $50 \%$ are indicated by an asterisk (e.g. *8.5), while those with RSEs of more than $50 \%$ are indicated by a double asterisk (e.g. $* * 25.2$ ). These estimates should be used with caution.

Non-sampling error 13 Other errors can occur whether the estimates are derived from a sample or from a complete enumeration and are generally referred to as non-sampling errors. Three major sources of non-sampling errors are:

- inability to obtain comprehensive data from all businesses included in the sample. These errors arise because of differences which exist between the characteristics of respondents and non-respondents;
- errors in reporting which may arise through inappropriate wording of questions, misunderstanding of what data are required, inability or unwillingness to provide accurate information and mistakes to answers in questions; and
- errors arising during the processing of the survey data. These processing errors may arise through mistakes in coding and data recording.

14 The population for each survey in this series has been adjusted in two ways. First, adjustments have been made to allow for new businesses which had commenced operations but for which details had not been processed to the ABS Business Register. The majority of businesses affected and to which adjustments have been made are small in size. Second, adjustments have been made for businesses which had been in existence for several years but, for various reasons, were not previously added to the ABS Business Register.

15 Other statistical products can be tailored to satisfy the specific requirements of clients. For example, many other performance measures, ratios or cross-classifications of data apart from those included in this publication can be generated. More details of the unpublished data which are available are given in the Survey data items part of this publication.

16 The generation of non-standard output usually requires some additional computer programming and clerical effort which increases its costs and time to deliver when compared to standard products.

17 For further information on unpublished statistics that can be made available, contact John Purcell or Christine Price by phone on 0262526634 or fax 0262527004.

Below is a list of the topics included in the BLS and the years in which they appeared.
Topic Page
State ..... 43
Industry ..... 43
Employment ..... 43
Labour turnover ..... 44
Contracting out ..... 44
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Business disputes ..... 52
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|  | 1994-95 | 1995-96 | 1996-97 | 1997-98 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State(a) |  |  |  |  |
| New South Wales | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Victoria | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Queensland | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| South Australia | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Western Australia | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Tasmania/Northern Territory/Australian Capital Territory | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Industry |  |  |  |  |
| Mining | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Manufacturing |  |  |  |  |
| Food, beverage and tobacco | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Textiles, clothing, footwear and leather | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Wood and paper products | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Printing, publishing and recorded media | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Petroleum, coal chemicals and assoc. prods | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Non-metallic mineral products | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Metal products | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Machinery and equipment | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Other manufacturing | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Construction | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Wholesale trade | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Retail trade | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Accommodation, cafes and restaurants | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Transport and storage | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Finance and insurance | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Property and business services |  |  |  |  |
| Property services | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Business services | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Cultural and recreational services | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Personal and other services | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Employment |  |  |  |  |
| Working proprietors, partners or directors |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Male/female split | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Full-time/part-time split | - | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Other managerial employees |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Male/female split | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Full-time/part-time split | - | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Other employees |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Male/female split | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Full-time/part-time split | - | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Casuals |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Male/female split | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Full-time/part-time split | - | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| For footnotes see end of table. |  |  |  | ...continued |


|  | 1994-95 | 1995-96 | 1996-97 | 1997-98 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labour turnover |  |  |  |  |
| Persons newly employed | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Persons ceasing to be employed | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Businesses with a decrease in employment | - | - | $\checkmark$ | - |
| Reasons for decrease in employment |  |  |  |  |
| Decrease in demand | - | - | $\checkmark$ | - |
| Contracted out tasks | - | - | $\checkmark$ | - |
| Improvements in efficiency | - | - | $\checkmark$ | - |
| Replaced permanents with casuals | - | - | $\checkmark$ | - |
| Reduced range of activities | - | - | $\checkmark$ | - |
| Temporary decrease | - | - | $\checkmark$ | - |
| Other | - | - | $\checkmark$ | - |
| Contracting out |  |  |  |  |
| Businesses contracting out activities | $\checkmark$ | - | $\checkmark$ | - |
| Employees replaced by contracting out | - | - | $\checkmark$ | - |
| Locations operated, opened and closed |  |  |  |  |
| Number of locations operated(b) | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Number of locations opened | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Number of locations closed | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Legal status(b) |  |  |  |  |
| Public company | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Other incorporated company | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Sole proprietorship | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Partnership | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Trust | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Un-incorporated co-operative | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Incorporated association | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Other | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Business age(b) |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 1 year | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| 1 to less than 2 years | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| 2 to less than 5 years | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| 5 to less than 10 years | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| 10 to less than 20 years | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| More than 20 years | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Foreign ownership(b) |  |  |  |  |
| None | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Up to 10\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| 11-49\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| 50-100\% | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Family businesses(b) |  |  |  |  |
| Whether business considered a family business | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Reasons considered a family business |  |  |  |  |
| Members involved in business | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Business acquired from parents | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Close working relationship between management and staff | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Other | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Number of generations same family operated business | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Number of managers from same family | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| For footnotes see end of table. |  |  |  | ..continued |


|  | $1994-95$ | $1995-96$ | $1996-97$ | $1997-98$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Characteristics of decision maker in businesses with only one decision maker(b)

Experience of decision maker as proprietor
Gender of decision maker
Education level of decision maker
Whether decision maker has tertiary qualifications in management

## Franchising(b)

Whether business operates as a franchisee
Whether business controls a franchise system

## Union membership

Up to $10 \%$
11 to $25 \%$
26 to $50 \%$
51 to $75 \%$
76 to $100 \%$
Number of unions represented

## Employment conditions

Form of employment conditions in business
Registered enterprise agreement
Unregistered enterprise agreement
Individual contract or agreement of employment
Award arrangements
Number of employees working under different arrangements
Conditions based on Federal/State awards
Covered by an award only
Individual formal contract or agreement of employment
Unregistered enterprise agreements
Registered enterprise agreements
Year registered enterprise agreement first introduced

## Days and hours of operation

Days per week plant operated
Hours of operation per day

## Use of business improvement programs

Whether business introduced any business improvement programs
Whether business has business improvement programs in place
Total quality Management
Quality assurance
Just-in-time Management
Process engineering

## Performance comparisons

Whether performance comparisons made
Type of comparisons made

## Prices

Costs
Quality of products or services
Range of products or services
Quality of client service
Marketing or advertising
Other


For footnotes see end of table.

|  | 1994-95 | 1995-96 | 1996-97 | 1997-98 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Business practices |  |  |  |  |
| Whether business has practice in place | - | - | - | - |
| Documented formal strategic plan | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Formal business plan | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | - |
| Budget forecasting | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Regular income/expenditure reports | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Formal networking with other businesses | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Electronic commerce (excluding banking) | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | - |
| Comparison of performance | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Export market planning | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Business links |  |  |  |  |
| Whether business has links with other businesses | - | $\checkmark$ | - | - |
| Result of link |  |  |  |  |
| Formation of a new business | - | $\checkmark$ | - | - |
| Formal agreement without creating new business | - | $\checkmark$ | - | - |
| Informal understanding | - | $\checkmark$ | - | - |
| Other | - | $\checkmark$ | - | - |
| Purpose of link-to increase capability in |  |  |  |  |
| Production | - | $\checkmark$ | - | - |
| Purchasing | - | $\checkmark$ | - | - |
| Marketing | - | $\checkmark$ | - | - |
| Distribution | - | $\checkmark$ | - | - |
| Research and development | - | $\checkmark$ | - | - |
| Training | - | $\checkmark$ | - | - |
| Other purposes | - | $\checkmark$ | - | - |
| Sources of business advice |  |  |  |  |
| Number of times advice sought from |  |  |  |  |
| External accountants | - | $\checkmark$ | - | - |
| Banks | - | $\checkmark$ | - | - |
| Solicitors | - | $\checkmark$ | - | - |
| Business consultants | - | $\checkmark$ | - | - |
| Family or friends | - | $\checkmark$ | - | - |
| Others in the industry | - | $\checkmark$ | - | - |
| Local business | - | $\checkmark$ | - | - |
| Industry associations/Chambers of commerce | - | $\checkmark$ | - | - |
| Australian Taxation Office | - | $\checkmark$ | - | - |
| Government small business agencies | - | $\checkmark$ | - | - |
| Business change |  |  |  |  |
| Whether business made any major change in |  |  |  |  |
| Range of products or services | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Advertising | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Distribution | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Markets targeted | $\checkmark$ | - | - | - |
| Domestic markets targeted | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Export markets targeted | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Accounting software | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Other administrative computer systems | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Production technology | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| For footnotes see end of table. |  |  |  | ...continued |


|  | 1994-95 | 1995-96 | 1996-97 | 1997-98 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Business change-continued |  |  |  |  |
| Whether business made any major change in |  |  |  |  |
| Technical training | $\checkmark$ | - | - | - |
| Management training | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | - |
| On-the-job training | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | - |
| Other training | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | - |
| Contracting-out | - | $\checkmark$ | - | - |
| Business structure | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Number of personal computers | - | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
| Number of staff using computers | - | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
| Electronic stock monitoring | - | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
| Electronic ordering/purchasing | - | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
| Electronic banking or funds transfer | - | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
| Participation in government programs |  |  |  |  |
| National industry extension service (NIES) | $\checkmark$ | - | - | - |
| AusIndustry/NIES | - | $\checkmark$ | - | - |
| R\&D tax concession | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | - | - |
| Grants for research and development | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | - | - |
| Best Practice Demonstration Program | $\checkmark$ | - | - | - |
| New Enterprise Incentive Scheme | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | - | - |
| Export Access | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | - |
| Export finance and Insurance Co. facilities | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | - |
| AUSTRADE |  |  |  |  |
| Export Market Development Grants | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | - |
| International Trade Enhancement Scheme | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | - |
| Other Austrade Services | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | - |
| Commonwealth, State or Local Government Employment programs | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | - |
| Other commonwealth or State government industry programs | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | - |
| Business intentions |  |  |  |  |
| Whether business intends to do any of the following |  |  |  |  |
| Significantly increase production levels | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Maintain existing production levels | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Significantly decrease production levels | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Open new locations | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Close locations | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Maintain or commence exporting | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | - | - |
| Maintain exporting | - | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Commence exporting | - | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Establish a partnership or office overseas | - | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Increase exporting | - | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Introduce new goods or services | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Sell the whole business | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Open the same business elsewhere | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Sell equity in the business | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Close the business | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Use of computers |  |  |  |  |
| Whether business used computers | - | - | $\checkmark$ | - |
| How long the business used computers | - | - | $\checkmark$ | - |
| Whether most of the computers are |  |  |  |  |
| Networked within business | - | - | $\checkmark$ | - |
| Stand-alone | - | - | $\checkmark$ | - |
| Whether business has electronic links with other business |  |  |  |  |
| Dial-up modem link other than via the Internet | - | - | $\checkmark$ | - |
| Dedicated line | - | - | $\checkmark$ | - |

[^0]|  | 1994-95 | 1995-96 | 1996-97 | 1997-98 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Use of computers-continued |  |  |  |  |
| Use of facilities |  |  |  |  |
| Electronic stock monitoring | - | - | $\checkmark$ | - |
| Electronic ordering/purchasing using modem or dedicated links | - | - | $\checkmark$ | - |
| Electronic financial transactions | - | - | $\checkmark$ | - |
| Number of employees required to use computer at least once per week | - | - | $\checkmark$ | - |
| Whether business has access to Internet | - | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Use of Internet |  |  |  |  |
| Purchasing | - | - | $\checkmark$ | - |
| Selling | - | - | $\checkmark$ | - |
| Marketing or advertising | - | - | $\checkmark$ | - |
| Gathering information | - | - | $\checkmark$ | - |
| Video/voice communication | - | - | $\checkmark$ | - |
| Email | - | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Data transfer | - | - | $\checkmark$ | - |
| Making payments | - | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
| Placing purchase orders | - | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
| Receiving invoices | - | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
| Other marketing and promotional activities | - | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
| Receiving payments | - | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
| Sending invoices | - | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
| Receiving sales orders | - | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
| Co-ordinating delivery arrangements | - | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
| Interactive lodging of forms/tenders | - | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
| Business to business data transfer | - | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
| Gathering of information | - | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
| Business networking | - | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
| Intranet | - | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
| Whether business has a web site/home page | - | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Exports |  |  |  |  |
| Whether business received income from export of goods | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | - | - |
| Whether business received income from export of services | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | - | - |
| Value of exports of goods and services | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | - | - |
| Value of goods exported | - | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Value of services exported | - | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Proportion of exports through different methods |  |  |  |  |
| Directly to subsidiaries/affiliates | $\checkmark$ | - | - | - |
| Directly to parent company | $\checkmark$ | - | - | - |
| Directly to unrelated company | $\checkmark$ | - | - | - |
| Via agents in Australia | $\checkmark$ | - | - | - |
| Via agents overseas | $\checkmark$ | - | - | - |
| Three main countries to which business exported | $\checkmark$ | - | - | - |
| Income |  |  |  |  |
| Income from sales of goods and services | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Other income | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Total income | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Estimate of increase or decrease in income for next year | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| For footnotes see end of table. |  |  |  | ...continued |


|  | 1994-95 | 1995-96 | 1996-97 | 1997-98 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Expenses |  |  |  |  |
| Wages and salaries | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Employer contribution to superannuation | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Workers' compensation costs | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Payroll tax | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | - |
| Fringe benefit tax | $\checkmark$ | - | - | - |
| Interest expenses | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Depreciation and amortisation | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Bad debts written off | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Purchases | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Motor vehicle running expenses | $\checkmark$ | - | - | - |
| Rent, leasing and hiring | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| All other operating expenses | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Total expenses | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Trading stocks |  |  |  |  |
| Opening trading stocks | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Closing trading stocks | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Profit or loss |  |  |  |  |
| Reported operating profit or loss before tax and extraordinary items | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Assets and liabilities |  |  |  |  |
| Current assets including trading stocks | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Non-current assets-property | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | - |
| Non-current assets-plant and machinery | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Non-current assets-other (not including property) | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | - | - |
| Non-current assets-other (including property) | - | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Total non-current assets | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Current liabilities | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Non-current liabilities | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Percent breakdown of liabilities |  |  |  |  |
| Trade and other creditors | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Bank loans | $\checkmark$ | - | - | - |
| Loans from banks and other institutions | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Loans from parent company | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Loans from individuals involved in the business or their families | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Loans from other individuals | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Loans from unrelated businesses | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Other loans | $\checkmark$ | - | - | - |
| Bank overdrafts | $\checkmark$ | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Bank bills and other short term facilities | - | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Provisions | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Other | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Due to other banks (finance sector only) | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Deposits and other borrowings (finance sector only) | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Outstanding claims (finance sector only) | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Unearned premiums (finance sector only) | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| For footnotes see end of table. |  |  |  | ...continued |


|  | 1994-95 | 1995-96 | 1996-97 | 1997-98 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equity |  |  |  |  |
| Value of owners' equity | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Breakdown of equity holdings |  |  |  |  |
| Owner and owner's family | $\checkmark$ | - | - | - |
| Director and director's family | $\checkmark$ | - | - | - |
| Parent company | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Unrelated persons | $\checkmark$ | - | - | - |
| Unrelated businesses | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | - | - |
| Other | $\checkmark$ | - | - | - |
| Working owners | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Non-working owners-family | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Non-working owners-non-family | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Venture or development capitalists | - | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Other unrelated businesses | - | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Employees (excluding directors) | - | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Other (including shareholders) | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Whether business obtained any equity finance during last year | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Source of equity finance |  |  |  |  |
| Family | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Acquaintances or business colleagues | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| People you did not previously know | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Unrelated business | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Related business | - | $\checkmark$ | - | - |
| Parent company/companies | - | - | $\checkmark$ | - |
| Parent company or related businesses | - | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
| Other related business | - | - | $\checkmark$ | - |
| Employees | - | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Venture/development capitalists | - | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Existing shareholders | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Total value of equity finance |  |  |  |  |
| Up to \$20 000 | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| \$20 001 to \$50 000 | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| \$50 001 to \$100 000 | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| \$100 001 to \$500 000 | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| \$500 001 to \$1 000000 | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Over \$1 000000 | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Capital expenditure and disposal of assets |  |  |  |  |
| Capital expenditure |  |  |  |  |
| Plant, machinery and equipment | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Land | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Dwellings, other buildings and structures | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Intangible assets | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Disposal of assets | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Training |  |  |  |  |
| Expenditure on formal training | $\checkmark$ | - | - | - |
| Number of managerial staff with tertiary qualifications in management, commerce or administration | $\checkmark$ | - | - | - |
| Number of managerial staff undertaking training in business management | $\checkmark$ | - | - | - |
| For footnotes see end of table. |  |  |  | ..continued |


|  | 1994-95 | 1995-96 | 1996-97 | 1997-98 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Training-continued |  |  |  |  |
| Changes in the level of training |  |  |  |  |
| Increased | - | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
| Decreased | - | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
| Stayed the same | - | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
| No training provided | - | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
| Reasons for increase or decrease in level of training | - | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
| Percent of persons undertaking different training |  |  |  |  |
| Structured training courses | - | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
| On-the-job training | - | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
| Seminars, workshops, conferences, etc. | - | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
| Job rotation, exchanges, etc. | - | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
| Percent of persons trained in different fields |  |  |  |  |
| Management training | - | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
| Professional training | - | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
| Training for computer specialists | - | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
| Trade and apprenticeship training and traineeships | - | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
| Health and safety training | - | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
| Other training | - | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
| Use of training providers |  |  |  |  |
| Employees or owners for on-the-job training | - | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
| Employees or owners for structured training | - | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
| Professional associations | - | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
| Industry associations | - | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
| Equipment manufacturer/supplier | - | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
| Private training consultant | - | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
| TAFE | - | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
| University | - | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
| Other | - | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
| Innovation (manufacturing industries) |  |  |  |  |
| Whether business developed any new or substantially changed products or processes | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Expenditure on Innovation |  |  |  |  |
| R\&D | $\checkmark$ | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Training costs | $\checkmark$ | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Acquisition of patents, trademarks and licenses | $\checkmark$ | - | - | - |
| Acquisition of technology developed by others | - | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Tooling-up, engineering and manufacturing start-up | $\checkmark$ | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Marketing | $\checkmark$ | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Total expenditure on innovation | $\checkmark$ | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Whether business performed R\&D | - | $\checkmark$ | - | - |
| Expenditure on R\&D | - | $\checkmark$ | - | - |
| Innovation (non-manufacturing industries) |  |  |  |  |
| If business introduced any new services or significantly changed ways of delivering its services | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Whether business introduced any new or substantially changed goods (except finance sector) | - | - | - | - |
| For footnotes see end of table. |  |  |  | ...continued |



Age of business
Number of years of operation for public companies and trusts. For other incorporated companies (e.g. Pty Ltd companies), sole proprietorships, partnerships and other businesses, the number of years owned or controlled by the present owners.

ANZSIC Australian and New Zealand Standard Industrial Classification.

Business size group In this publication data are presented using five business size categories:
(i) micro business-which is defined as those businesses employing less than five people;
(ii) other small business-which is defined as those businesses employing five or more, but less than 20 people;
(iii) small business-which is defined as those businesses employing less than 20 people;
(iv) medium business-which is defined as those businesses employing 20 or more people, but less than 200; and
(v) large business-which is defined as those businesses employing 200 or more people.

Family business In this publication family businesses are self-defined. If the operators of the business consider the business to be a family business, it has been treated as one. The most common reasons for a business to be considered as a family business is because there are a number of family members involved in the business, either as working directors or proprietors or employees or just in the decision making for the business. On other occasions the business will be considered to be a family business because it has been acquired from other members of the family.

Fields of training In the survey four fields of training were identified:
(i) Health and safety training-includes legal/administrative training (OHS laws etc.), hazard related training, management or supervision responsibilities, first aid training and workers' compensation training.
(ii) Professional training-includes further training for those persons whose occupation require at least a three-year degree/diploma.
(iii) Training for computer specialists-includes only training for persons considered computer specialists. It does not include computer training for non-computer specialists e.g software package training. This is included in Other training.
(iv) Other training-includes all training not included separately in the items above.

Methods of training In the survey four methods of training were identified:
(i) Job rotation, exchanges etc.-these strategies were considered training methods when planned in advance to develop the knowledge and skills of workers concerned.
(ii) On-the-job training-is informal training usually given on a one-to-one basis at the workplace.
(iii) Seminars, workshops, conferences etc.-these were considered training methods only when the purpose of attending was to extend or update the knowledge of workers.
(iv) Structured training courses-these are planned periods of training conducted either internally or externally by a teacher, tutor or specialist for a time set in advance by those organising the course.

Unionisation People working in the business that were union members as at 30 June 1998.

## SELF-HELP ACCESS TO STATISTICS

| CPI INFOLINE | For current and historical Consumer Price Index data, <br> call 1902981074 (call cost 75 c per minute). |
| :--- | :--- |
| DIAL-A-STATISTIC | For the latest figures for National Accounts, Balance of <br> Payments, Labour Force, Average Weekly Earnings, |
|  | Estimated Resident Population and the Consumer Price <br> Index call 1900 986 400 (call cost 75c per minute). |
| INTERNET | www.abs.gov.au |
| LIBRARY | A range of ABS publications is available from public and <br> tertiary libraries Australia-wide. Contact your nearest <br> library to determine whether it has the ABS statistics <br> you require. |

WHY NOT SUBSCRIBE?

| PHONE | +611300366323 |
| :--- | :--- |
| FAX | +610396157848 |

## CONSULTANCY SERVICES

ABS offers consultancy services on a user pays basis to help you access published and unpublished data. Data that is already published and can be provided within 5 minutes is free of charge. Statistical methodological services are also available. Please contact:

| City | By phone | By fax |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Canberra | 0262526627 | 0262070282 |  |
| Sydney | 0292684611 | 0292684668 |  |
| Melbourne | 0396157755 | 0396157798 |  |
| Brisbane | 0732226351 | 0732226283 |  |
| Perth | 0893605140 | 0893605955 |  |
| Adelaide | 0882377400 | 0882377566 |  |
| Hobart | 0362225800 | 0362225995 |  |
| Darwin | 0889432111 | 0889811218 |  |

POST Client Services, ABS, PO Box 10, Belconnen ACT 2616

[^1]
[^0]:    For footnotes see end of table.

[^1]:    EMAIL
    client.services@abs.gov.au

